

BEFORE THE MINNESOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

LeRoy Koppendraye
Gregory Scott
Marshall Johnson
Phyllis Reha
Ellen Gavin

Chair
Commissioner
Commissioner
Commissioner
Commissioner

JUN 13

Jason D. Topp
Qwest Corporation
Law Department
200 South Fifth Street, Room 395
Minneapolis, MN 55402

SERVICE DATE: JUN 12 2003

DOCKET NO. P-5508,421/IC-03-419

In the Matter of an Application for Approval of the March 25, 2003 Amendment to the Interconnection Agreement Between Crystal Communications, Inc. d/b/a Hickory Tech and Qwest Corporation (Originally Approved in Docket No. P-5508,421/M-97-1560); Adding the Terms, Conditions and Credit/Reimbursement for the Decommissioning of Crystal Communications, Inc. d/b/a Hickory Tech's Physical And/or Virtual Collocations and Ancillary Services Purchased from Qwest

The above entitled matter has been considered by the Commission and the following disposition made:

Approved, with the exceptions recommended by the Department of Commerce in its attached comments

This decision is issued by the Commission's consent calendar subcommittee, under a delegation of authority granted under Minn. Stat. § 216A.03, subd. 8 (a). Unless a party, a participant, or a Commissioner files an objection to this decision within ten days of receiving it, it will become the Order of the full Commission under Minn. Stat. § 216A.03, subd. 8 (b).

The Commission agrees with and adopts the recommendations of the Department of Commerce which are attached and hereby incorporated in the Order.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION


Burl W. Haar
Executive Secretary

(S E A L)

This document can be made available in alternative formats (i.e., large print or audio tape) by calling (651) 297-4596 (voice), (651) 297-1200 (TTY), or 1-800-627-3529 (TTY relay service).



RECEIVED

MAY 15 2003

85 7th Place East, Suite 500
St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-2198

651.296.4026 FAX 651.297.1959 TTY 651.297.3067

MN PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

May 14, 2003

Burl W. Haar
Executive Secretary
Minnesota Public Utilities Commission
121 7th Place East, Suite 350
St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-2147

RE: In the Matter of the Application of Qwest Corporation for Approval of the Facility
Decommissioning Agreement as an Amendment to the Interconnection Agreement with
Crystal Communications, Inc. dba HickoryTech
Docket No. P5508,421/IC-03-419

Dear Dr. Haar:

Interconnection agreements and amendments to interconnection agreements that are not arbitrated under §252 of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 may be approved without hearing under Minn. Stat. §216A.03, subd. 7. The Public Utilities Commission's (Commission) Order designating interconnection agreements and amendments to interconnection agreements as subject to a standing order was issued on August 25, 2000 in Docket No. P999/CI-00-634. The use of a standing order is to apply to filings submitted on or after September 1, 2000.

As required by the Commission's August 25, 2000 Order, the Department of Commerce has reviewed and analyzed the current filing. Attached is the Minnesota Department of Commerce's Checklist for processing amendments to interconnection agreements. The Checklist reflects the Department's analysis of the issues and language that the Commission has established to meet the requirements that interconnection agreements or amendments thereto not discriminate against third parties, harm the public interest or conflict with state law.

The petition was filed on: March 25, 2003

Interconnection Agreement being Amended: P5508,421/M-97-1560 effective January 16, 1998

Wireless or Wireline: Wireline

Topic of Amendment: This amendment adds the terms, conditions and credit/reimbursement for the decommissioning of Crystal Communications, Inc. dba HickoryTech's physical and/or virtual collocations and ancillary services purchased from Qwest.

The Petition was filed by:

Jason D. Topp, Attorney
Qwest Corporation Law Department
200 South 5th Street, Room 395
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402

Conditions:

This agreement contains one or more bracketed provisions. The Department disagrees with Qwest's position that the bracketed provisions are 47 U.S.C. § 251(b) and (c) services, and are the only provisions that the Commission has authority to approve under 47 U.S.C. § 252(e). The Minnesota Commission reviews for approval interconnection agreements in their entirety. If, however, the Commission determines that portions of these negotiated agreements are discriminatory to non-parties or are otherwise against the public interest, the Commission has the authority to reject all or part of the agreements. The ability of any CLEC to opt into provisions contained in the document is governed by Sections 252(a), (e) and (i) of the Telecommunications Act

The Department recommends that the Commission approve this agreement with the exception of Section 5, Binding Arbitration. If the companies want this section and it does not exist in the underlying agreement, they will have to negotiate an amendment that incorporates the Commission required language and submit it for Commission approval.

The Department's analysis finds that the interconnection agreement complies with the Commission's requirements except as indicated on the attached Checklist. The Department is submitting this memorandum recommending that the Commission **approve** the amendment to the interconnection agreement either at a Commission hearing or by way of the standing order process ordered on August 25, 2000.

Sincerely,


ROGER SCHNEIDER
RATES ANALYST

RS/ja
Attachment

Company Names: Crystal Communications, Inc. dba HickoryTech and Qwest Corporation
Docket No.: P5508,421/IC-03-419

CHECKLIST FOR PROCESSING AMENDMENTS TO INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENTS

ANALITICAL PROCEDURES

A. AMENDMENTS TO INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENTS

- ☒ 1. Amendment modifies an approved interconnection agreement. (Identify docket and date of Order) P5508,421/M-97-1560 effective January 16, 1998.
- ☐ 2. Amendment addresses language required by the Commission to meet the requirements of 47 CFR 252(e)(2) and (3).
- ☐ 3. The Parties have complied with the Commission's requirement for prior approval of an amendment to an interconnection agreement.¹ (Explain)Qwest seeks prior approval of the bracket language in this agreement on a going-forward basis. This agreement was not previously filed with the Commission, but it is now being submitted to comply with 252(a) filing requirements.
- ☐ 4. Amendment addresses an issue on which the Commission has established its position.

Identify the topic:

- ☐ a) Language complies with the Commission's position.
Indicate the section and page where this language is found.
- ☐ b) Language does not comply with the Commission's preference, but was negotiated and, therefore, meets the statutory requirements.² Indicate the section and page where this language is found. _____

¹ In the Matter of the Application for Approval of the Agreement for Interconnection and Traffic Interchange between Cellular Mobil Systems of St. Cloud, Minnesota L.L.P. and U S WEST Communications, Inc., Docket No. P421/EM-97-437 at page 6.

² In the Matter of the Federal Court Remand of Issues Proceeding from the Interconnection Agreements Between U S WEST Communications and Sprint Spectrum, Triad Minnesota, and Cellular Mobil Systems, ORDER AFTER REMAND APPROVING NEGOTIATED LANGUAGE, P5457,421/M-99-794 dated November 24, 1999 at pages 2 and 3.

Company Names: Crystal Communications, Inc. dba HickoryTech and Qwest Corporation
Docket No.: P5508,421/IC-03-419

X 5. Amendment does not cover a topic on which the Commission has established a precedent.

X a. Identify the topic: This amendment adds the terms, conditions and credit/reimbursement for the decommissioning of Crystal Communications, Inc. dba HickoryTech's physical and/or virtual collocations and ancillary services purchased from Qwest.

X b. The Amendment does not threaten the public interest, discriminate against third parties or conflict with state law.

 1) Agree (explain).

X 2) Disagree. See checklist item A.6. comments.

X 6. Other Comments.

This interconnection agreement was executed on October 3, 2001. While Qwest previously submitted it to the Department as part of its investigation into Qwest's interconnection agreement filing practices in Docket No. P421/IC-02-197, it is only now being submitted for Commission approval. Although this agreement was not one of the agreements that the Department chose to use as part of its complaint, this should not suggest that Commission approval of this agreement is not necessary. The agreements selected by the Department were limited for the purposes of the contested case process in Docket No. P421/IC-02-197. It is the position of the Department that Qwest has always been obligated to file this agreement.

This agreement contains one or more bracketed provisions. The Department disagrees with Qwest's position that the bracketed provisions are 47 U.S.C. § 251(b) and (c) services, and are the only provisions that the Commission has authority to approve under 47 U.S.C. § 252(e). The Minnesota Commission reviews for approval interconnection agreements in their entirety. If, however, the Commission determines that portions of these negotiated agreements are discriminatory to non-parties or are otherwise against the public interest, the Commission has the authority to reject all or part of the agreements. The ability of any CLEC to opt into provisions contained in the document is governed by Sections 252(a), (e) and (i) of the Telecommunications Act.

In this agreement, the Department has the following concern: Section 5, Binding Arbitration does not contain the Commission required language.

Company Names: Crystal Communications, Inc. dba HickoryTech and Qwest Corporation
Docket No.: P5508,421/IC-03-419

The Department believes that the Minnesota Commission should take action that will ensure that the public interest and the rights of CLECs are protected, including the contracting CLEC. Moreover, since this agreement has been and continues to be in effect, the Department believes that it would be disruptive to the CLEC if the Commission were to reject the agreement in its entirety.

The Department recommends that the Commission approve this agreement with the exception of Section 5, Binding Arbitration. If the companies want this section and it does not exist in the underlying agreement, they will have to file for Commission approval of an amendment that incorporates the Commission required language.

B. RECOMMENDATION OF THE DEPARTMENT

 X 1. Accept the interconnection agreement/amendment.

Conditions: See checklist item A.6. comments.

 2. Reject the interconnection agreement/amendment. (Not subject to the standing order.)